

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	A7	TORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/054,162	01/18/2002	Siu Choon Ng	•	4810-62169 5351	
75	590 10/14/2004			EXAM	INER
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP				THERKORN, ERNEST G	
One World Tra	de Center		_		
Suite 1600			- 1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
121 S. W. Salm	on Street		`	1723	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/054,162	NG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ernest G. Therkorn	1723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Se	<u>eptember 2004</u> .					
,	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>35-37,45 and 48-54</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>35-37,45 and 48-54</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
• Marcha et a						
Attachment(s)	🗖					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Linterview Summary (I	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Motice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/054,162

Art Unit: 1723

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 35-37, 45, and 48-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lincoln (U.S. Patent No. 5,324,750) in view of Ng (U.S. Patent No. 6,017,458) and either Armstrong (Anal. Chem. 1990, 62, 1610-1615) or Hargitai (Journal of Chromatography, 628 (1993), pages 11-22). At best, the claims differ from Lincoln (U.S. Patent No. 5,324,750) in the clarity of reciting a saccharide that is fully functionalized and a moiety that is able to bind to a support. Armstrong (Anal. Chem. 1990, 62, 1610-1615) (page 1610, column 2, line 15-page 1611, column 1, line 8 and the paragraph under "Results and Discussion" on page 1611, column 2) discloses it is desirable to maximize the functionalization of cyclodextrin because that increases its ability to resolve enantiomers under normal phase conditions. Hargitai (Journal of Chromatography, 628 (1993), pages 11-22) (Abstract and page 22, lines 6-11) discloses that maximizing functionalization was the most important factor for enantioselectivity. Ng (U.S. Patent No. 6,017,458) (column 2, lines 10-19; column 2. line 60-column 3, line 6; and column 3, lines 41-51) discloses forming a silane derivative results in a product that is universally applicable to HPLC, LC, TLC, and CLE and permits immobilization on a support material surface. It would have been obvious to fully fuctionalize the cyclodextrin in Lincoln (U.S. Patent No. 5,324,750) either because Armstrong (Anal. Chem. 1990, 62, 1610-1615) (page 1610, column 2, line 15-page

Application/Control Number: 10/054,162

Art Unit: 1723

1611, column 1, line 8 and the paragraph under "Results and Discussion" on page 1611, column 2) discloses it is desirable to maximize the functionalization of cyclodextrin because that increases its ability to resolve enantiomers under normal phase conditions or because Hargitai (Journal of Chromatography, 628 (1993), pages 11-22) (Abstract and page 22, lines 6-11) discloses that maximizing functionalization was the most important factor for enantioselectivity. It would have been obvious to form a silane derivative for binding in Lincoln (U.S. Patent No. 5,324,750) because Ng (U.S. Patent No. 6,017,458) (column 2, lines 10-19; column 2, line 60-column 3, line 6; and column 3, lines 41-51) discloses forming a silane derivative results in a product that is universally applicable to HPLC, LC, TLC, and CLE and permits immobilization on a support material surface.

The remarks have been considered but are not deemed pertinent in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to E. Therkorn at telephone number (571) 272-1149. The official fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ernest G. Therkorn Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723

And other

EGT October 4, 2004